1 2	MATTHEW L. SEROR (SBN: 235043) mseror@buchalter.com BUCHALTER			
3	A Professional Corporation 1000 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1500 Los Angeles, CA 90017-1730			
4	Telephone: 213.891.0700 Fax: 213.896.0400			
5	Attorneys for Defendants J.C. PENNEY CORPORATION, INC.,			
7	and BELK, INC.			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	WONGAB CORPORATION, a Korean Corporation,	Case No. 2:18-cv-02630-SVW-JPR		
11	Plaintiff,	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT		
12 13	vs.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
14	FYC APPAREL GROUP, LLC, a Connecticut Limited Liability Company, individually and doing business as			
15	ROBBIE BEE; J.C. PENNEY			
16	CORPORATION, INC., a Delaware Corporation; BELK, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1-10,			
17	Defendants.			
18				
19	Defendant J. C. Penney Corporation, Inc. ("Defendant") answers the			
20 21	Complaint ("Complaint") of Plaintiff Wongab Corporation ("Plaintiff") as follows:			
22	In response to the introduction, Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or			
23	information to either admit or deny the allegations contained therein, and on that			
24	basis, denies each and every allegation contained therein.			
25		1. In response to Paragraph 1 of the Complaint, Defendant denies any		
26	and all liability. Defendant admits that Plaintiff purports to assert claims and seeks remedies pursuant to the Copyright Act of 1976, 17 U.S.C. § 101, et seq.			
27		1770, 17 0.5.c. y 101, et sey.		

5

3

7

10

16

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

28

- 2. Paragraph 2 of the Complaint states a legal conclusion which Defendant is not required to admit or deny.
- Paragraph 3 of the Complaint states a legal conclusion which 3. Defendant is not required to admit or deny. Defendant denies that a substantial part of the acts and omissions giving rise to the claims asserted against Defendant occurred in this judicial district.
- Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to either admit or 4. deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 of the Complaint and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to either admit or 5. deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 of the Complaint and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 6. Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to either admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 6 of the Complaint and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Defendant admits that it is a Delaware corporation but denies that its 7. principal place of business is located in Waco, Texas. Defendant admits that it does business in the state of California but denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 7.
- 8. Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to either admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 8 of the Complaint and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 9. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 9 of the Complaint.
- Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to either admit or 10. deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 10 of the Complaint and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation contained therein.

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

- 11. Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to either admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 11 of the Complaint and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 12. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 12 of the Complaint.
- 13. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 13 of the Complaint.
- 14. Defendant admits that Exhibit A purports to be a copy of a patent bearing the alleged number and title but lacks sufficient knowledge or information to either admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 14 of the Complaint and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 15. Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to either admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 15 of the Complaint and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 16. Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to either admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 16 of the Complaint and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 17. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 17 of the Complaint.
- 18. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 18 of the Complaint.
- 19. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 19 of the Complaint.
- 20. For its response to Paragraph 20 of the Complaint, Defendant incorporates by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1-19, inclusive, of this Answer as if said responses were set forth herein.
- 21. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 21 of the Complaint.

## FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (No Infringement)

44. As its Fifth and Separate Affirmative Defense, Defendant has not infringed and do not infringe, directly, contributively, or by inducement, any valid and enforceable claim of the asserted patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

### **SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

## (Misuse of Copyright)

45. As its Sixth and Separate Affirmative Defense, Defendant is informed and believes that Plaintiff has misused its copyright, if any, to secure an exclusive right or monopoly not granted by the Copyright Office; and, further, that Plaintiff's claim of copyright infringement is barred, in whole or in part, by fraud or deception in the copyright registration process, or by misuse of registered copyrights.

## **SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

## (Attorneys' Fees Improper)

46. As its Seventh and Separate Affirmative Defense, Defendant alleges that Plaintiff fails to state facts sufficient to permit recovery of attorneys' fees against Defendant.

## **EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

### (No Malice)

47. As its Eighth and Separate Affirmative Defense, Defendant alleges that the alleged acts, in connection with the manufacture and sale of garments, were without malice, and were done in the good-faith belief that such acts were duly authorized and proper.

25

///

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26 ///

27|| ///

28

## NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

### (Copyrighted Work Not Original to Plaintiff)

49. As its Ninth and Separate Affirmative Defense, Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's copyright registration, as identified in the Complaint, is invalid because it claims material originally developed by persons other than Plaintiff.

## **TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

## (Designs Not Subject to Copyright Protection)

50. As its Tenth and Separate Affirmative Defense, Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's copyrighted design, as alleged in the Complaint, is not properly subject to copyright protection or copyrightable.

## **ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

## (Innocent Infringement and Good Faith)

51. As its Eleventh and Separate Affirmative Defense, Defendant alleges that to the extent Plaintiff's work was infringed, Defendant acted in good faith, innocent of any knowledge or intent to infringe Plaintiff's rights in the alleged copyright. If such good faith and lack of intent does not, as a matter of law, preclude a finding of liability, any general or statutory damages awarded should be correspondingly reduced.

## TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

## (Invalidity)

- 52. As its Twelfth and Separate Affirmative Defense, Defendant alleges that one or more claims of the asserted patent is invalid for failure to meet the requirements of one or more sections of Title 35, United States Code, and/or Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, including but not limited to one or more of 35 U.S.C. §§101, 102, 103, and 112. Defendant further alleges that the Copyright Registration alleged in the Complaint is invalid.
- 27

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28 //

## THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

## (Public Domain)

55. As its Thirteenth and Separate Affirmative Defense, Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's copyright infringement claims are barred because the work for which copyright protection is claimed, or elements thereof, was in the public domain.

## FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Lack of Notice)

59. As a Fourteenth and Separate Affirmative Defense, Defendant alleges that to the extent Plaintiff asserts that Defendant has committed or are committing indirect infringement of the asserted patent, Defendant is not liable for the acts alleged to have been performed before Defendant knew that its actions could cause infringement.

## FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

## (Recovery Barred by 35 U.S.C. § 288)

60. As a Fifteenth and Separate Affirmative Defense, Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's claims against Defendant for recovery are barred, in whole or in part, by 35 U.S.C. § 288.

## SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

## (Patent Misuse)

61. As a Sixteenth and Separate Affirmative Defense, Defendant alleges that the patents in suit are invalid, unenforceable, and void as a result of patent misuse, such misuse including, without limitation, obtaining a patent through fraudulent representations and omissions in the Patent Office, and bad faith enforcement of patents known to Plaintiff to be invalid for the purpose of restraining competition.

27

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28|| ///

///

# 

## SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure of the Notice and Marking Requirements)

62. As a Seventeenth and Separate Affirmative Defense, Defendant Alleges, Plaintiff's claim for damages prior to providing Defendant with actual notice of patent infringement by filing the Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, for failure to comply with 35 U.S.C. 287.

## **EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

(Reservation of Defenses)

63. Defendant reserves the right to assert such additional defenses in the event that further discovery, investigation or analysis indicate they are proper.

DATED: June 4, 2018

BUCHALTER A Professional Corporation

By: /s/

MATTHEW L. SEROR

Attorneys for Defendants
J. C. PENNEY CORPORATION, INC., and BELK, INC.

1	DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY	
2	Defendant hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues in Plaintiff's	
3	Complaint that are triable by jur	y.
4	DATED: June 4, 2018	BUCHALTER
5		A Professional Corporation
6		
7		By: /s/ MATTHEW L. SEROR
8		Attorneys for Defendants J.C. PENNEY CORPORATION, INC., and BELK, INC.
9		and BELK, INC.
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		•
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
7 X II		